

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,638	0	5/17/2002	Harald Schach	10191/2138	8127
26646	7590	08/10/2005	,	EXAM	INER
KENYON	& KENY	ON	KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2675	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/030,638	SCHACH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2675					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuty of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant or the provided by the Office later than three months after the integrand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty inod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	4 April 2005.	•					
,— ·	This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>10-25</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) 10-25 is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	,					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been i reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
45 ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	′ [¬]	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The following office action is in response to Amendment, filed April 14, 2005. Claims 10, 18, and 20 have been amended. Claims 10-25 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim10-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeuchi et al (US 5,964,515) in view of Kato et al (US 5,050,045).

As to independent claims 10 and 18, Ikeuchi et al discloses an illumination device for a display instrument (Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 56-67), comprising, a dial face (Fig. 1, items 11 and 12); a pointer scale arranged on the dial face (Fig. 1, items 11 and 12); a first scale marking of the pointer scale arranged on a first side of the pointer scale on the dial face (Fig. 1, item 11); Ikeuchi et al do not disclose at least one of a second scale marking of the pointer scale and an auxiliary scale arranged on a second side of the pointer scale on the dial face. Kato et al disclose in Fig. 3, at least one of a second scale marking of the pointer scale (shown by the interior most numbers ranging from 20-260, which would be the km/h). Kato et al disclose an auxiliary scale arranged on a second side of the pointer scale on the dial face (Fig. 3, as shown by the line markings just above the second scale markings). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 2675

skill in the art to incorporate multiple scales on the dial face of Ikeuchi et al as shown by Kato et al as multiple scales would enable multiple items related to a vehicle to be displayed.

Ikeuchi et al disclose a first light source for illuminating the first scale marking (Fig. 2, item 10b); an optical waveguide into which a light of the first light source is injected, the light being deflected from the optical waveguide to the second scale marking (col. 3, lines 1-35);

Ikeuchi et al disclose at least one second light source for illuminating the pointer scale; and a light funnel arranged between the at least one second light source and the pointer scale, wherein a light path from the at least one second light source to the pointer scale is separated from the optical waveguide by the light funnel; Although Ikeuchi et al disclose one light source, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that more than one lamp could have been used as opposed to one large lamp. Multiple lamps are advantageous as they can produce more intense light for each dial face.

As to independent claim 20, limitations of claims 10 and 18, and further comprising, Ikeuchi et al disclose an actual vehicle speed is displayed by the cruise control display by a pointer, and a desired speed is displayed by the cruise control display by illuminating segments of the pointer scale of the display instrument (Fig. 1, dial face 11, col. 2, lines 61-67).

As to dependent claim 11, limitations of claim 10, and further comprising, Ikeuchi et al disclose a circuit board on which is arranged the at least one second light source (col. 3, lines 36-50).

As to dependent claim 12, limitations of claim 10, and further comprising, Ikeuchi et al disclose at least one second light funnel, wherein; the at least one second light source includes a plurality of other light sources, the pointer scale is illuminated by the plurality of other light

Art Unit: 2675

sources, each of the plurality of other light sources is arranged in a respective one of the light funnel and the at least one second light funnel and the optical waveguide proceeds between the at least two of the light funnel and the at least one second light funnel from the first light source to the at least one of the second scale marking and the auxiliary scale (col. 3, lines 1-50).

As to dependent claim 13, limitations of claim 12, and further comprising, Ikeuchi et al disclose wherein the pointer scale includes a plurality of scale segments (Fig. 1, items 11 and 12, segmented), at least one of the plurality of other light sources is assigned to one of the plurality of scale segments, and the plurality of other light sources are able to be electrically activated individually and one of a brightness and a color of the plurality of other light sources is changeable (col. 3, lines 1-50). Although Ikeuchi et al do not disclose where the color of the light sources in changeable, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the display could have been capable of multiple colors as is well known in the art.

As to dependent claim 14, limitations of claim 13, and further comprising, Ikeuchi et al disclose wherein the plurality of other light sources include a plurality of light emitting diodes. Although Ikeuchi et al does not state the light sources are that of light emitting diodes, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the light sources could have been light emitting diodes as light emitting diodes are advantageous as they are compact and low cost.

As to dependent claim 15, limitations of claim 10, and further comprising, Ikeuchi et al disclose wherein the light funnel includes a reflective material (col. 2, lines 56-60, col. 3, lines 18-23).

As to dependent claim 16, limitations of claim 15, and further comprising, Ikeuchi et al disclose wherein the reflective material includes a white plastic material (col. 2, lines 56-60, col.

Art Unit: 2675

3, lines 18-23). Although Ikeuchi et al do not disclose where the reflective material is white plastic, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the reflective material could have been white plastic as the it would have been inexpensive to dispose in the dial unit.

As to dependent claim 17, limitations of claim 12, and further comprising, Ikeuchi et al disclose a circuit board, and a support in which the light funnel and the at least one second light funnel are interconnected, wherein the optical waveguide is held against the circuit board by the support (col. 3, lines 1-50).

As to dependent claim 19, limitations of claim 18, and further comprising, Ikeuchi et al disclose a diffusing screen arranged between the dial face and the first light source (Fig. 2, item 10c).

As to dependent claim 21, limitations of claim 10, and further comprising, although, Ikeuchi et al and Kato et al do not disclose where the pointer scale is configured to display a target speed, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the pointer scales of Ikeuchi et al and Kato et al could have been configured to display to the requirements of the user.

As to dependent claim 22, limitations of claim 21, and further comprising, the first scale marking is configured in units of miles per hour and the second scale marking is configured in kilometers per hour. Ikeuchi et al do not disclose where the first scale marking is configured in units of miles per hour and the second scale marking is configured in kilometers per hour. Kato et al discloses in Fig. 3 where the first scale marking is configured in units of miles per hour and the second scale marking is configured in kilometers per hour. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the scale markings of Kato et al into that of Ikeuchi

Art Unit: 2675

et al. The dual scale markings of Kato et al are advantageous as different countries rely upon different units for speed.

As to dependent claim 23, limitations of claim 10, and further comprising, Ikeuchi et al disclose wherein the pointer scale includes a plurality of individually illuminated segments (Fig. 1, items 11 and 12)

As to dependent claim 24, limitations of claim 13, and further comprising, Although Ikeuchi et al does not state the light sources are that of incandescent lamps, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the light sources could have been incandescent lamps as incandescent lamps are advantageous as they are compact and low cost.

As to dependent claim 25, limitations of claim 13, and further comprising, Although Ikeuchi et al does not state the light sources are that of glow lamps, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the light sources could have been glow lamps as glow lamps are advantageous as they are compact and low cost.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2675

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is 571 272 7769.

The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571 272 3638. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Srilakshmi K. Kumar

Examiner

Art Unit 2675

SKK August 5, 2005

CHANH NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER